

name of which is recognized in the National Formulary, an official compendium, and its quality and purity fell below the official standard since it contained undissolved material; and the difference in quality and purity of the article from the official standard was not plainly stated, or stated at all, on its label.

**DISPOSITION:** June 24, 1948. A plea of guilty was entered on behalf of the corporation to all 4 counts of the information, and a plea of guilty was entered by the individual to count 1 of the information relating to the triple distilled water. The corporation was fined \$250 on each of the 4 counts; the individual was fined \$800 on count 1 and placed on probation for two years. Counts 2, 3, and 4 of the information were dismissed with respect to the individual.

**2511. Adulteration of phenobarbital tablets and misbranding of nicotinic acid tablets and sodium iodide solution. U. S. v. California Pharmacal Co., Augustin J. Bellport, Jr., and Herbert C. Skinner. Pleas of nolo contendere. Fine of \$750 against company. Imposition of sentence against individual defendants suspended for two years and these defendants placed on probation. (F. D. C. No. 24270. Sample Nos. 18410-K, 18413-K, 18416-K.)**

**INFORMATION FILED:** June 28, 1948, Southern District of California, against the California Pharmacal Co., a corporation, Los Angeles, Calif., and Augustin J. Bellport, Jr., president, and Herbert C. Skinner, vice-president.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about January 7, February 7, and September 9, 1947, from the State of California into the State of Ohio.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** *Phenobarbital tablets.* Adulteration, Section 501 (b), the article purported to be and was represented as "Phenobarbital Tablets," a drug the name of which is recognized in the United States Pharmacopoeia, and its strength differed from the official standard since it contained more than 106 percent of the labeled amount of phenobarbital, the maximum permitted by the standard; and its difference in strength from the standard was not plainly stated, or stated at all, on its labeling.

*Nicotinic acid tablets.* Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "C. T. Nicotinic Acid 50 mg." was false and misleading. This statement represented and suggested that each tablet of the article contained 50 milligrams of nicotinic acid, whereas each tablet of the article contained less than 50 milligrams of nicotinic acid.

*Sodium iodide solution.* Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "Sodium Iodide 10% \* \* \* Each 10cc contains 15.5 grains (1.0 gm.) of Sodium Iodide" was false and misleading. This statement represented and suggested that 10 cc. of the article contained 15.5 grains or 1 gram of sodium iodide, whereas 10 cc. of the article contained less than 15.5 grains or 1 gram of sodium iodide.

**DISPOSITION:** August 9, 1948. Pleas of nolo contendere having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$750 against the corporation and suspended the imposition of sentence against the individuals for 2 years and placed them on probation.

**2512. Adulteration and misbranding of Uargin tablets. U. S. v. Grisard Laboratories, Inc. Plea of guilty. Fine of \$200 and costs. (F. D. C. No. 23264. Sample No. 83102-H.)**

**INFORMATION FILED:** December 23, 1947, Eastern District of Tennessee, against Grisard Laboratories, Inc., Winchester, Tenn.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about March 3, 1947, from the State of Tennessee into the State of Kentucky.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Tablets Salicyline No. 2. Enteric Coated. Kendall. Squill."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the strength of the article differed from that which it was represented to possess, in that each tablet of the drug was represented to contain an amount of the cardio-active glycosides of squill equivalent in potency to 2.5 "cat units" of digitalis, as determined by the test for tincture of digitalis set forth in the United States Pharmacopoeia, Twelfth Revision, whereas the article possessed a potency equivalent to not more than 1.55 "cat units" of digitalis, which was not more than 62 percent of the declared potency.

Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statements (carton) "Contains Two Of The Cardio-Active Glycosides Of Squill \* \* \* Standardized by the U. S. P. XII Cat Method. Each tablet \* \* \* Is Equivalent To 2.5 Cat

Units" and (bottle) "Standardized Cardio-Active Glycosides Of Squill \* \* \* Each tablet is equal to 2.5 Cat Units as standardized by the U. S. P. Cat Method" were false and misleading, since the article when tested in accordance with the method set forth in the United States Pharmacopoeia, Twelfth Revision, for tincture of digitalis did not contain an amount of the cardio-active glycosides of squill equivalent in potency to 2.5 "cat units" of digitalis but possessed a lesser potency.

DISPOSITION: April 16, 1948. A plea of guilty having been entered, the defendant was fined \$200, together with costs.

**2513. Adulteration and misbranding of Oleum Paracamphine, adulteration of thiamine hydrochloride tablets, and misbranding of Astringodyne. U. S. v. Saint Louis Pharmacal Co. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$400. (F. D. C. No. 24073. Sample Nos. 40754-H, 53627-H, 53628-H.)**

INFORMATION FILED: January 26, 1948, Eastern District of Missouri, against the Saint Louis Pharmacal Co., a corporation, St. Louis, Mo.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 20, September 30, and October 9, 1946, from the State of Missouri into the States of Illinois and Indiana.

NATURE OF CHARGE: *Oleum Paracamphine*. Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the quality of the article fell below that which it purported and was represented to possess. It was represented as an antiseptic, whereas it was not an antiseptic. Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "An Antiseptic" was false and misleading, since the article was not an antiseptic; and, Section 502 (e) (2), the article was not designated solely by a name recognized in an official compendium and was fabricated from two or more ingredients, and its label failed to bear the common or usual name of each active ingredient.

*Thiamine hydrochloride tablets*. Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the strength of the article differed from, and its quality fell below, that which it purported and was represented to possess, since it purported and was represented to contain 5 mgms. of thiamine hydrochloride in each tablet, whereas it contained a smaller amount.

*Astringodyne*. Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statements "Containing Zinc Iodide . . . 0.46%," "Iodine . . . 0.6," "Ephedrine, alkaloid . . . 1" were false and misleading, since the article contained no iodine and contained materially less than 0.46 percent of zinc iodide and 1 percent of ephedrine alkaloid.

DISPOSITION: October 29, 1948. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$400.

**2514. Adulteration and misbranding of Salicyline tablets. U. S. v. C. B. Kendall Co., Inc., and Claude B. Kendall. Pleas of guilty. Fine of \$150 against each defendant. (F. D. C. No. 24227. Sample Nos. 83126-H, 83151-H.)**

INFORMATION FILED: July 12, 1948, Southern District of Indiana, against C. B. Kendall Co., Inc., Indianapolis, Ind., and Claud B. Kendall, president of the corporation.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about May 15, 1947, from the State of Indiana into the State of Kentucky.

LABEL, IN PART: "Tablets Salicyline No. 2. Enteric Coated. Kendall."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the strength of the article differed from that which it purported and was represented to possess. Each tablet of the article was represented to contain 3 milligrams of thiamine hydrochloride, equivalent to 1,000 International Units of vitamin B<sub>1</sub>, and to contain 5,000 units of vitamin D. Each tablet contained less thiamine hydrochloride and less vitamin D than represented.

Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "Each Tablet Contains: \* \* \* Thiamine Hydrochloride 3 mg. (1000 International Units B<sub>1</sub>) Vitamin D . . . 5000 Units" was false and misleading.

DISPOSITION: November 26, 1948. Pleas of guilty having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$150 against each defendant.

**2515. Adulteration and misbranding of Viblex. U. S. v. Ray F. McMullin (Endocrine Products Laboratory), and Walter E. Sterz. Pleas of nolo contendere. Fines, \$51 against Ray F. McMullin and \$2 against Walter E. Sterz. (F. D. C. No. 24283. Sample No. 36468-K.)**

INFORMATION FILED: September 3, 1948, Southern District of California, against Ray F. McMullin, trading as Endocrine Products Laboratory, Los Angeles, Calif., and Walter E. Sterz, a pharmacist for the laboratory.